

Many thanks, Carl, much appreciated.

Dave

----- Original Message -----

From: "Carl Wunsch" <cwunsch@ocean.mit.edu>

To: <dave.rado@dsl.pipex.com>

Sent: Thursday, May 10, 2007 8:54 PM

Subject: Re: Ofcom

Dave,

I think if the Sheridans letter is itself not public, then my email describing it is ok. That they threatened me is no secret. The exact wording, in a somewhat bizarre sense, seems to have copyright protection (I'd have thought I owned the letter as it was sent to me, but apparently not.)

Carl

":" == Dave Rado <dave.rado@dsl.pipex.com> writes:

Many thanks, Carl. I don't want to do anything that could potentially cause you any problems, though; and if you can't make it public, then surely I can't either? I had intended to put your email on my website and link to it from our complaint, but wouldn't that be making it public?

Dave

----- Original Message ----- From: "Carl Wunsch"

<cwunsch@ocean.mit.edu> To: <dave.rado@dsl.pipex.com> Sent:

Thursday, May 10, 2007 8:40 PM Subject: Re: Ofcom

Dave,

Although I'm not supposed to make it public, I did receive a letter from Sheridans, a firm of solicitors in London, on 13 March 2007. The letter threatens me with a lawsuit for defamation on behalf of Martin Durkin and WagTV following my comments to the press that I had been misled and misrepresented in the "Swindle" film.

Subsequently, there has been a chain of letters exchanged between the firm of solicitors I had to engage and Sheridans, as well as a meeting among the parties when I was in London one day about 6 weeks ago.

No agreement has emerged and the threat remains as of this writing.

Carl Wunsch